

Knowledge-based sharing in the health industry

Friday, 16 June 2017, 9.00am to 5.00pm

Respondents	Surgeon	Surgeon Trainee	Project / Data Management	Quality and Safety Manager	Research Health Professional
	12.5%	16.7%	25.0%	8.3%	37.5%

Note: Scale: 1=strongly disagree, 2=disagree, 3=neutral, 4=agree and 5=strongly agree.

Feedback was provided by 24 respondents (23.1%) out of 104 health professionals registered.

1.	The Program	Responses		Average
1.1	The program was relevant to my role and my organisation.	24	100%	4.3
1.2	The program outcomes were explained.	24	100%	4.0
1.3	The pace was adequate to meet my needs.	23	96%	4.1
1.4	The program material was useful during the program.	24	100%	4.3
2.	The Environment	Responses		Average
2.1	Upon arrival I was made to feel welcome.	24	100%	4.7
2.2	The setup of the room and venue was suitable.	24	100%	4.8
2.3	The facilities were clean and well presented.	24	100%	4.8
3.	The Facilitator	Responses		Average
3.1	The facilitators' presentations were well paced and suited to the group.	23	96%	4.0
3.2	The facilitators' encouraged participation and discussions.	24	100%	3.0
4.	Seminar Presentations	Responses		Average
4.1	'Clinical trials in the community' was well paced and suited to the group.	24	100%	4.5
4.2	'Using data at the Women's to inform improvement' was well paced and suited to the group.	24	100%	4.0
4.3	'The value of surgical mortality audit' was well paced and suited to the group.	23	96%	4.7
4.4	'Australian Breast Device Registry' was well paced and suited to the group.	24	100%	4.4
4.5	'Surgery for the treatment of otitis media in Indigenous Australian children – running a rural and remote RCT' was well paced and suited to the group.	23	96%	4.2
4.6	'The importance of context in research in global health work' was well paced and suited to the group.	23	96%	3.9
4.7	'Incorporating research into the RMIT Pharmaceutical Sciences placement' was well paced and suited to the group.	23	96%	3.5
4.8	'A brief introduction to art psychotherapy' was well paced and suited to the group.	21	88%	3.4
4.9	'Creating a 'healthy' workforce from a mental health perspective' was well paced and suited to the group.	21	88%	4.4
4.10	'Data supporting the RACS Action Plan: Building Respect, Improving Patient Safety' was well paced and suited to the group.	20	83%	4.2

Knowledge-based sharing in the health industry

Friday, 16 June 2017, 9.00am to 5.00pm

4.11	'The building respect in practice' was well paced and suited to the group.	20	83%	4.0
4.12	'Documentation considerations for investigator initiated studies' was well paced and suited to the group.	21	88%	4.1
4.13	'Research and governance in the health service' was well paced and suited to the group.	19	79%	4.2
4.14	'Clinical trial research regulation' was well paced and suited to the group.	19	79%	4.0
4.15	'Clinical ethics in the health service' was well paced and suited to the group.	19	79%	4.4
4.16	'Regulatory affairs of medical devices in the health industry' was well paced and suited to the group.	19	79%	4.1
5.	Program Results	Responses		Average
5.1	I have gained valuable knowledge and skills from this program.	23	96%	4.2
5.2	I can apply the skills and knowledge from this program in my role.	23	96%	4.2

Themes	Comments
The seminar was educational and valuable.	<p><i>"Excellent organisers on site – Professional".</i></p> <p><i>"Warm welcome, good to see so many female speakers, first speaker brilliant, food very good, very good lunch program".</i></p> <p><i>"Excellent overall, from the speakers, to the venue, to the food and entertainment. Far exceeded my expectations. Well done!"</i></p>
The learning outcomes were of value.	<p><i>"Nice variety of topics."</i></p> <p><i>"Overall a good experience. Having a variety of different topics and concepts in relation to a variety of research and data was a wonderful concept and would enjoy if ran again."</i></p>
Improvements identified and further topics recommended.	<p><i>"Question time or panel discussion allowance. Longer presentations with less speakers".</i></p> <p><i>"Tighter reign on speakers keeping to schedule. In built question time either at end of each presentation or before each session break."</i></p> <p><i>"Ideas for the future: Medico-legal implications in clinical trials. The finance and budgeting aspects of a clinical trial site."</i></p>
The environment needed improvement.	<p><i>"Speakers often spoke too far from microphone hence too softly - difficult to hear back rows!"</i></p>